

WP. 21.9.42/69

To the INDEPENDENT INHABITANTS of Knarefbrough.

GENTLEMEN.

A meeting is intended to be held at Mr. Savages on Wednesday next by the DEVONSHIRE PARTY, to consider of a mode of expressing their attachment to the present constitution; this is undoubtedly, a step highly political on the part of Borough-mongers, and their adherents, but will it not be equally improper in the inhabitants of this rotten Borough, to join in such a declaration? Might it not strengthen the Duke of Devonshire's claim, and prevent our obtaining a restoration of our RIGHTS? And finally, would it not appear very inconsistent with the attempt made in the year 1784, to regain our privilege of voting for members? I think no person that opposed the Duke at that election, by voting for Sir I. Coghil and B. Frank, can be capable of such a prostitution of principles, as to deny the necessity of a parliamentary reform, by countenancing, in any respect, the meeting alluded to.—Who can say that this borough is properly represented in parliament, when the members are appointed by the Duke's tenants at Bolton Bridge, Skipton &c. (one of whom a few years ago at a general election, upon being asked who he voted for, said, I don't know, but Mr. Collins knows!!)—The inhabitants of Knarebro' are not concerned in the election of its members, neither do half of them know by whom they are represented—Gentlemen, consider these things well, afterwards assemble, petition for a reform in parliament, and express your most inviolable attachment to your Sovereign, (the best of Kings) whose person I shall ever be ready to defend, with my Life and property.

I am Gentlemen, yours &c.

Knarebro', Decem. 17th. 1792

AMATOR PATRIÆ.

WP. 21. 9. 42/69

To the **INDEPENDENT INHABITANTS** of **Knarefbrough.**

GENTLEMEN.

A meeting is intended to be held at Mr. Savages on Wednesday next by the **DEVONSHIRE PARTY**, to consider of a mode of expressing their attachment to the present constitution; this is undoubtedly, a step highly political on the part of Borough-mongers, and their adherents, but will it not be equally improper in the inhabitants of this rotten Borough, to join in such a declaration? Might it not strengthen the Duke of Devonshire's claim, and prevent our obtaining a restoration of our **RIGHTS**? And finally, would it not appear very inconsistent with the attempt made in the year 1784, to regain our privilege of voting for members? I think no person that opposed the Duke at that election, by voting for Sir I. Coghill and B. Frank, can be capable of such a prostitution of principles, as to deny the necessity of a parliamentary reform, by countenancing, in any respect, the meeting alluded to.—Who can say that this borough is properly represented in parliament, when the members are appointed by the Duke's tenants at Bolton Bridge, Skipton &c. (one of whom a few years ago at a general election, upon being asked who he voted for, said, I don't know, but Mr. Collins knows!!)—The inhabitants of Knarefbro' are not concerned in the election of its members, neither do half of them know by whom they are represented—Gentlemen, consider these things well, afterwards assemble, petition for a reform in parliament, and express your most inviolable attachment to your Sovereign, (the best of Kings) whose person I shall ever be ready to defend, with my Life and property.

I am Gentlemen, yours &c.

Knarefbro', Decem. 17th. 1792

AMATOR PATRIÆ.